

Date: Tue, 31 May 94 04:30:06 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #596
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Tue, 31 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 596

Today's Topics:

 need NYC repeater freq
 Outward bound DX QSL mailers?
 Pro-2004 Repair Help
 RF Spectrum
 Six meter HT (2 msgs)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 31 May 1994 04:59:09 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!cs.utexas.edu!convex!news.duke.edu!godot.cc.duq.edu!
duq3.cc.duq.edu!SYJERRY@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: need NYC repeater freq
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm going to be in new york (manhattan) next week and I'd like to know
repeater freqs (and offsets and PL tones) for 2m around the area.
Last time I was there, I could not get to any of the local repeaters
at all and only got one that is 50 mi north of manhattan.

please email replies.
thanks in advance

73s de jerry

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 03:38:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!caen!malgudi.oar.net!
witch!doghouse!jsalemi@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Outward bound DX QSL mailers?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May29.132441.22743@desire.wright.edu>, nyoung@desire.wright.edu
(nyoung@desire.wright.edu) writes:

>Do any of these poor, deluded and suffering souls still exist. I
>am about ready to put the HF station back together and plan on waiting
>out the lousy condx and working an occasional DX. I QSL those guys
>100%. Hints, help (and flames, as I expect 'em) all welcome.
>

I think the ARRL is the only one still running an outbound service, and
you have to be a member to use it. But it IS cheap; \$1 for up to 10
cards, or \$2 per pound (about 150 cards).

The ARRL also supports incoming bureaus for each call area. For your
call (8th call area), the incoming bureau is:

8th Area QSL Bureau
PO Box 182165
Columbus, OH 43218-2165

You can contact them about their policies regarding keeping envelopes
on file to receive your cards.

73...joe

Joe Salemi, KR4CZ Internet: jsalemi@doghouse.win.net
Compuserve: 72631,23 FidoNet: 1:109/136 MCI Mail: 433-3961

Date: 31 May 1994 07:27:35 GMT
From: theodolite.ae.calpoly.edu!ksmith@yale.arpa
Subject: Pro-2004 Repair Help
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

My Realistic Pro-2004 scanner has a sticky key. It looks like something
has indented the number 6 key, causing it to stay pressed intermittantly.

Reply via this board or email, I'll read (and much appreciate) either!

I was wondering if anyone might know where I could pick up a file containing a breakdown of RF bands by frequency and use for most, if not all of the radio spectrum. I've tried to find a good publication which would have this in it, but have yet to find a good one. I'd like to find ranging from LF to UHF if possible.

Thanks,

Michael P. Sullivan - Sr. Systems Architect PP-ASMEL/IA
Merrill Lynch - Financial Systems Skylane N52792
E-Mail: msullivan@ACM.org Internal: sullivan@ml.com Phone: 212-236-1487

James V. Kauffman (jkauffmn@netcom.com) wrote:
: Michael Lo (ee_hflo@dma039.ust.hk) wrote:
: : Are there any 6 meter Handheld radio available in the market ?

: --
: Yes, Azden makes a 6m HT (FM) and was reviewed in '73' magazine not
: more than 6 months ago as I recall. I've seen adds for 7 and 21 MHz ssb HTs
: but not for 50 MHz.

How about the performance of Azden 6m ? etc intermod, power....

Michael LO
VR2YJR

Date: Tue, 31 May 1994 03:48:13 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!
newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!caen!malgudi.oar.net!witch!doghouse!
jsalemi@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Six meter HT
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May30.134341.23782@uxmail.ust.hk>, Michael Lo
(ee_hflo@dma039.ust.hk) writes:
> Are there any 6 meter Handheld radio available in the market ?
>
> I think it have be FM. Any SSB or AM model ?
>

Azden makes a 6m handheld, FM only. I think it puts out 10w, and is
advertised in all the major ham magazines here in the US.

73...joe

Joe Salemi, KR4CZ Internet: jsalemi@doghouse.win.net
Compuserve: 72631,23 FidoNet: 1:109/136 MCI Mail: 433-3961

Date: 30 May 1994 22:46:15 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!nntp-
server.caltech.edu!news.claremont.edu!kaiwan.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994May30.111450.24376@cs.brown.edu>, <2sd8b1\$kd6@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>,
<CqMyLH.Dp0@news.Hawaii.Edu>wan.com
Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Jeffrey Herman (jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu) wrote:

> 1. Someone tell me what would happen if somebody put an uncoordinated repeater
> on the air (assuming that no activity has been heard over a certain time
> period on that particular frequency pair). Is a coordinating body equivalent
> to the law? (i.e., will the FCC always side with the body?)

Without sticking my nose into the good book, I think there should be no problem with this as long as the uncoordinated operation does not interfere with a coordinated operation. The big asterisk here is that the coordinating body can coordinate an operation six months from now, and if you're uncoordinated, even if you've "been there" longer, you'll have to move on.

> 2. If all the frequency pairs are taken, yet some aren't being used, it seems
> (as in the broadcast industry) that one shouldn't be able to hold onto a
> frequency pair. The burden should be on the group holding the pair; if they
> can't but something on the air within a certain definite period of time
> then the frequency pair should go to the next group on the waiting list
> (assuming there is a wait list before the coord. body).

One of the funny things about SoCal is that SCRBA refuses to release the actual location of the repeaters. All you get is a long list of callsigns, frequencies (no PL's) and the boundries of SCRBA's influence. You don't know if a particular repeater is in Santa Barbara or just west of Yuma.

> There is never any justification for jamming a repeater, though. I am so
> shocked that someone would resort to that behavior, then openly admit that
> they've been doing it. This reminds me of those gang members who show no
> remorse for their crimes - no sense of morals. Their parents failed to instill
> proper social behavior.

I totally agree.

--

____[Robb Topolski]____[San Clemente, CA]____[topolski@kaiwan.com]____

Date: 30 May 1994 22:36:32 -0700

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!netline-fddi.jpl.nasa.gov!nntp-server.caltech.edu!news.claremont.edu!kaiwan.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994May30.111450.24376@cs.brown.edu>, <2sd8b1\$kd6@kaiwan.kaiwan.com>, <rogjdCqMnyJ.565@netcom.com>wan.com

Subject : Re: 440 in So. Cal.

Hi Roger. We've enjoyed one or two good roundtables on .925 before.

Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:

> 440 in Southern California needs a new coordinating body and a new
> coordinating philosophy, it's as simple as that. I propose the following
> as a starter: "If you aren't open, you aren't coordinated."

I couldn't agree more. In fact, I'd even be happy with "any new
coordinations must be open and remain open for a minimum of 12 months"
until some kind of reaonsable balance is struck.

--

____[Robb Topolski]___[San Clemente, CA]___[topolski@kaiwan.com]_____

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #596
